

Presentation Judging Sheet
Neuroethics 2024 / Baltimore, MD, USA
4:00-5:00pm, Wednesday, April 17




Provide a score from 1-5 for each of the three criteria categories. Do not give zeros or use half points. See the qualitative terms associated with each numerical score. Most scores should be in the 2 to 4 range — representing 'good', 'great', or 'excellent' work — with 5s reserved for 'exceptional' work. 1 = Poor / 2 = Good / 3 = Great / 4 = Excellent / 5 = Exceptional

- **Topic** – Novelty of topic and overall relevance to neuroethics. Clearly articulates a neuroethics problem giving rise to a research question that drives the work. Identifies the importance to neuroethics of any conclusions drawn from the work.
- **Clarity** – Clarity of argumentation and presentation are all clear and tightly connected. Employs and clearly identifies research and/or theoretical methods appropriate for the problem and questions raised. Conclusions emerge clearly from these methods.
- **Engagement** – Engagement with relevant research and public audiences. Includes relevant background information and engages with extant literature and ethical implications.

Assignments will be given out at the meeting prior to the session.

P#	Topic	Clarity	Engagement	Comments / Award Consideration



Submit your scores via the online submission form no later than 10:00 pm ET on Wednesday, April 17. Using the online submission form allows for scores to be quickly aggregated. Thank you!
<https://forms.gle/NtnszRVQcPXQhvATA>